

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,682	03/11/2004	Joel A. Schwartz	10189-002001	6249	
26161 FISH & RICH	7590 07/23/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 102	2	PAINTER, BRANON C			
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3633	33	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/798,682	SCHWARTZ, JOEL A.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	BRANON C. PAINTER	3633						

	Examine	AILOIIL	1				
	BRANON C. PAINTER	3633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appr 	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WIT MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period or under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked: Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	idilir die dilie period sectoral in 57	DF K 41.57 (a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) will	be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	vided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10 and 13-23</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Brian E. Glessner/	Branon Painter						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3633	Examiner						
	Art Unit: 3633						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The unitary insulation member of Grinshpun in Fig. 2A has substantially uniform rigidity (c. 4, 6-7), and therefore also meets the new claim limitations.